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Objectives: Families of children with special needs face many problems. This study aimed to investigate happiness training on the pattern of parent-child relationships and the hope of parents with autistic children.

Methods: Twenty-six couples with autistic children who referred to Rafsanjan Autism Clinic, Rafsanjan City, Iran, were enrolled in the study by the census method. Informed consent was obtained from them. Then the parents completed the Schaefer and Edgerton Parent-Child Interaction Questionnaire (1985) and Miller Hope Scale (1988). Next, parents were randomly assigned to the intervention or control groups. Happiness training based on the Fordyce method was performed for eight 1.5-hour sessions per week for the intervention group. The parents of either group completed the questionnaires after training of the intervention group (about 2 months and 3 months later). The Chi-square test, independent t-test, and 2-way repeated-measures ANOVA were used for statistical analysis. The level of significance was set at 0.05.

Results: The results of the analysis showed that the changes in the scores of communication (P=0.013), acceptance (P=0.008), control (P=0.005), independence (P=0.040), aggressive non-attachment (P=0.015), and hope (P=0.010) were significant between the two groups at stages of measurement. The scores of all variables increased but the aggressive non-attachment decreased.

Discussion: The education of happiness in Fordyce style influenced the interactive pattern of communication and hope of parents with autistic children. Therefore, training of happiness along with other services available to parents of autistic children can also be considered.
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: Families of children with special needs face many problems. This study aimed to investigate happiness training on the pattern of parent-child relationships and the hope of parents with autistic children.

Methods: Twenty-six couples with autistic children who referred to Rafsanjan Autism Clinic, Rafsanjan City, Iran, were enrolled in the study by the census method. Informed consent was obtained from them. Then the parents completed the Schaefer and Edgerton Parent-Child Interaction Questionnaire (1985) and Miller Hope Scale (1988). Next, parents were randomly assigned to the intervention or control groups. Happiness training based on the Fordyce method was performed for eight 1.5-hour sessions per week for the intervention group. The parents of either group completed the questionnaires after training of the intervention group (about 2 months and 3 months later). The Chi-square test, independent t-test, and 2-way repeated-measures ANOVA were used for statistical analysis. The level of significance was set at 0.05.

Results: The results of the analysis showed that the changes in the scores of communication (P=0.013), acceptance (P=0.008), control (P=0.005), independence (P=0.040), aggressive non-attachment (P=0.015), and hope (P=0.010) were significant between the two groups at stages of measurement. The scores of all variables increased but the aggressive non-attachment decreased.

Discussion: The education of happiness in Fordyce style influenced the interactive pattern of communication and hope of parents with autistic children. Therefore, training of happiness along with other services available to parents of autistic children can also be considered.
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1. Introduction

According to the definition, autism is a developmental disorder in children with abnormal verbal-communication and repetitive behaviors. The disorder symptoms appear before three years old. This disorder causes the brain to fail to function properly in social behaviors and communication skills and disturbs the child’s communication and interaction with others [1]. Autism spectrum disorders have strong genetic sources [1, 2], with 15%-40% cases of the disease being inherited through genes [2]. There is no detailed information for the prevalence and distribution of autism; however, the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, the Fifth Edition, estimates the prevalence of autism spectrum disorder in the United States and other countries to be approximately 10-30 cases per 10000 persons. Furthermore, this disorder has been reported to be 4 to 5 times more prevalent in boys than in girls, even though, the disorder in girls is associated with more severe mental retardation [3].

One of the major problems in autistic children is their difficulty in social communication [1] and such social communication disorder can be regarded as the most significant, the most important, and the main feature of autism. On the other hand, the child’s problem in establishing effective communication also affects the child-parents’ relationship. Mothers with autistic children are depressed and sad, and somehow blame themselves for their child’s problems, feel guilty, and suffer from continuous anxiety [4]. Families of children with autism spectrum disorders seem to face various challenges and difficulties, including social isolation, depression, and anxiety [5].

Hope is a psychological structure influenced by numerous internal and environmental factors [6]. Parents with autistic children consider their hopes to be lost and their dreams to be faded. Over time, they lose their hope and have no organized and purposeful plan for themselves and their child in the coming future because they believe that autism is a lasting disorder without definitive treatment [7].

The findings of previous studies have shown the relationship between hope and health. Hope consists of two cognitive and emotional components. The emotional component can predict future positive events and increase psychological well-being [8]. Happiness is one of the main essentials of mankind, which has a great impact on the formation of personality and mental health [9]. According to Orstavik, happiness is a positive concept that is vital to provide and maintain health [10]. Some researchers have proved that a sense of happiness can be used to treat mental illnesses, increase hope, promote mental strength, and enhance defensive power against stress [11, 12]. In this regard, Rashidi et al. reported that a positive psychological state increased the likelihood of happiness and relaxation [13]. Accordingly, it seems that providing parents with different training help them to accept their autistic child, reduce anxiety and depression, and increase their intrinsic and environmental happiness [14].

Fordyce, a theorist in the field of happiness, used an educational approach that is both cognitive and behavioral. In the cognitive aspect, he discussed the causes of specific thoughts and behaviors leading to happiness and adopted a variety of techniques and solutions from cognitive and behavioral therapies in the behavioral aspect. The Fordyce happiness training program is a strategy with 14 cognitive and behavioral components. Eight cognitive components of this strategy are as follows: decreasing expectations and desires, creating positive and optimistic thoughts, planning events, focusing on the present, reducing negative emotions, terminating discomfort, nurturing a healthy personality, and valuing happiness. Its 6 behavioral components are increasing activity, enhancing social interactions, fostering close relationships, nurturing social personality, creativity, and engaging in meaningful tasks. Fordyce studied the feasibility of promoting happiness, and suggested some relevant strate-
gies, and tested them under controlled conditions. The results of his studies indicated that all proposed strategies improved happiness among the participants [15, 16]. Fathi et al. also found out that happiness training for parents with depressed children promoted their happiness, life satisfaction, and general health [17]. Happiness training also enhances general self-efficacy, reduces stress induced by educational activities, improves mental health [18], and promotes the quality of life and distress tolerance in individuals with physical disabilities [19]. Various studies have proposed that a child suffering from a disability lowers hopefulness in his parents [6]. Lloyd et al. also showed that mothers of children with intellectual disabilities scored lower on hope and mental health [20]. On the contrary, the findings of Koydemir et al. indicated that the psychological experiences of mothers with autistic children were similar to those of the mothers of children with other disabilities [21]. In the literature review, the researchers of the present study found no study on happiness training for the parents of autistic children. Therefore, the present study aimed to determine the effectiveness of the Fordyce happiness training program on the parent-child interaction model and hope in parents with autistic children.

2. Methods

The population of this educational trial comprised all parents (both fathers and mothers) of children with autism disorder referred to Rafsanjan Autism Teaching and Rehabilitation Center, Rafsanjan City, Iran, in 2019 (N=31). Twenty-six parents were enrolled in the study based on the inclusion criteria of 20-60 years old, without a history of psychiatric illness with hospitalization (e.g. schizophrenia, treatment-resistant major depression, and borderline personality disorder), without a history of chronic or severe physical illness (e.g. multiple sclerosis, developed cancer, and grand mal epilepsy), without a history of the death of relatives over the last year, divorce, or history of drug abuse. The exclusion criteria included incomplete participation in all training sessions.

After ethical approval, an introduction session was held for all parents. In this meeting, the purpose of the study was outlined, their informed consent was obtained, and demographic checklists including age, education level, and economic status were completed. Then, the parents completed the Schaefer and Edgerton Parent-Child interaction Questionnaire (SEPCQ) and the Miller Hope Scale (MHS).

SEPCQ consisted of 24 items measuring parents’ perceptions of their relationship with their child based on 6 aspects (communication, acceptance, control, independence, aggressive control, aggressive non-attachment). In SEPCQ, parents describe their feelings, perceptions, or views on how they behave or interact with their child on a 5-point Likert scale. The Persian version of this questionnaire was validated in Iran. The Cronbach alpha coefficient of the scale was found as 0.89 [22].

Moreover, the MHS was developed by Miller and Powers to measure an individual’s hopefulness [8]. The first scale consisted of 40 items, which was increased by 48 items in subsequent versions. The MHS is a type of diagnostic test comprising 48 aspects of hopelessness and helplessness, in which the items are listed based on explicit or implicit behavioral manifestations in hopeful or hopeless individuals. The items are scored based on a Likert-type scale. Each respondent is scored by selecting a sentence that comes true for him or her, according to what his or her life expectancy and helplessness are assessed. Total score ranges 48-240, with 48 indicating the highest level of hopelessness and 240 representing the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>BOX’s M test</th>
<th>Levene’s test</th>
<th>Shapiro–Wilk</th>
<th>Skewness-kurtosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>0.289</td>
<td>-0.061, -0.310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptance</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.754, -0.289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>-0.442, -0.501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independence</td>
<td>0.364</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.258</td>
<td>0.196, 0.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggressive control</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>0.535</td>
<td>0.325, 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggressive non-attachment</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.211</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.236, 1.841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hope</td>
<td>0.866</td>
<td>0.794</td>
<td>0.644</td>
<td>-0.100, -0.662</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
highest level of hopefulness. The Cronbach alpha coefficient of this scale was reported as 0.9. In Abolghasemi et al. study [23], it was found as 0.87. Then the participants were randomly assigned to the intervention and control groups using randomly permuted blocks of size 2 (n=13).

The intervention group received 8 1.5-hour sessions of the Fordyce happiness training per week [15, 24] (as a group) at Rafsanjan’s Autism Teaching and Rehabilitation Center by a PhD candidate of Psychology (Table 1), while the control group received the routine services of the rehabilitation center.

After passing the training course, post-test and follow-up test were run for the intervention and control groups using the same instruments (namely SEPCQ and MHS). All participants were present until the end of the study and no one was excluded.

It should be noted that both parents of the affected child were included in this study and the necessary training was provided to both mothers and fathers so that the total score of the parents’ interaction patterns and hope were considered in the statistical analysis [25].

The assessor and the statistical analyst were not aware of the classification of participants. The obtained data were analyzed using SPSS v. 18. Results for numeric data were reported as Mean±SD  and as No. (%) for categorical data. The Chi-square test was applied for categorical variables while the independent t-test was used for numeric variables. Two-way repeated-measures ANOVA was utilized to compare mean scores of parent-child interaction dimensions and hope across the two groups while adjusting for the pre-test effect. P≤0.05 was considered a significant difference.

3. Results

The Mean±SD age of the children in the intervention group was 8.92±2.68 years and in the control group was 7.86±2.25 years (t=1.097, P=0.283). The Mean±SD age of the fathers in the intervention group was 39.00±5.57 years and in the control group was 41.17±4.05 years (t=-1.095, P =0.284). The Mean±SD age of the mothers in

Table 2. Summary of the Fordyce happiness training method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sessions</th>
<th>Contents</th>
<th>Techniques</th>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>Assignments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Defining autism and its symptoms, defining happiness, its necessity, and importance</td>
<td>Verbal training, Q &amp; A</td>
<td>Introduction to discussions and meetings</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Teaching techniques to increase physical activity, be productive, and do meaningful and useful works</td>
<td>Verbal training, Q &amp; A</td>
<td>Doing useful and meaningful works</td>
<td>Increasing public and private activities (e.g. regulating sleeping hours and having half an hour of daily exercise or walking), increasing useful works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Training techniques for better planning and organization, avoiding worries, lowering expectations and desires</td>
<td>Verbal training, Q &amp; A</td>
<td>Application of techniques for better planning and organization, reducing expectations</td>
<td>Performing the techniques taught in the previous session, implementing planning and prioritization techniques</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Techniques of creativity, techniques of living in the present</td>
<td>Verbal training, Q &amp; A</td>
<td>Applying creative thinking techniques</td>
<td>Performing the techniques taught in the previous sessions, innovation in daily activities, and doing some creative works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Training techniques for enhancing social relationships and being the real self</td>
<td>Verbal training, Q &amp; A</td>
<td>Increasing social relationships</td>
<td>Performing the techniques taught in the previous sessions, implementing the real-self technique, and avoiding complimentary comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Training techniques for enhancing increasing intimacy as the most important source of happiness and techniques for prioritizing and valuing happiness</td>
<td>Verbal training, Q &amp; A</td>
<td>Parents should be able to show their intimacy with others</td>
<td>Performing all the presented techniques, increasing intimacy, and doing practices to avoid dependence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Techniques for expressing feelings and optimism</td>
<td>Verbal training, Q &amp; A</td>
<td>Parents should be able to express their feelings toward others</td>
<td>Performing all the techniques presented in previous sessions, expressing emotions as much as possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>A review of all the techniques</td>
<td>Verbal training, Q &amp; A</td>
<td>Assessing individuals’ ability to be happy</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the intervention group was 35.42±3.82 years and in the control group was 36.14±3.82 years (t=-0.483, P=0.634).

Of 12 children in the first group, 8 (66.7%) were boys, and of the 14 children in the control group, 9 (64.3%) were boys (x=0.016, P=0.899). The frequency of fathers’ education (x=4.616, P=0.099), the level of mothers’ education (x=2.860, P=0.239), and the economic status (x=0.851, P=0.653) of the two groups were not significant.

In this study, the independent t-test and two-way repeated-measures ANOVA was used for the inferential analysis of the data. The statistical assumptions were examined, and the data normality was confirmed. The skewness and kurtosis of parent-child interaction dimensions and hope were between +2 to -2. The normality of the score distribution was then assessed (Table 2). The mean scores of the parent-child interaction dimensions and hope are presented in Table 2.

Two-way repeated-measures ANOVA was used to analyze the data with regard to the type of study (i.e. a trial study) as well as the small sample size and the values of skewness and kurtosis. The results showed that for the variable of communication (one of the dimensions of the parent-child interaction model) F=4.405 (P=0.018); therefore, there was found a significant difference between the mean scores of “relationship” in the control and intervention groups so that happiness training significantly increased the parents’ child “communication.” The Eta-squared (η²) index indicated that 15.5% of the observed difference between the intervention and control groups with regard to the variable of communication could be explained as the effect of happiness training. Furthermore, the results showed that happiness training increased the scores of “acceptance” (F=5.735, P=0.020), “control” (F=6.472, P=0.012), “independence” (F=5.640, P=0.014) and “hope” (F=14.498, P=0.001), and decreased the “aggressive non-attachment” (F=9.703, P=0.003) (Table 3).

4. Discussion

The present study aimed to determine the effect of happiness training on parent-child interaction patterns and hopefulness in parents with autistic children.

The results of the study suggested that happiness training for the parents of children with autism affected some of their interactive patterns such as communication, independence, aggressive control, and aggressive non-attachment. In this regard, happiness training increased the parent’s hopefulness. The study findings showed that happiness training has a positive effect on the parents’ relationship with their children. Sadeghi et al. found that CPRT (child-parent relationship therapy) for mothers had a positive and significant effect on the quality of parent-child interactions and mothers’ happiness [26]. Shokohiyekta et al. documented that training new parenting techniques improved parent-child interaction [27]. Rasouli et al. found that cognitive-behavioral happiness training was effective in reducing the symptoms of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder [28].

In the present study, happiness training significantly reduced the parents’ aggressive control (one of the dimensions of the interaction model). From this perspective, the finding of this study was in line with that of Kamarzarin’s study [29]. If aggressive control is greater, the severity of impulsivity and disobedience symptoms in children increases. This is due to the negative and specific technique of control applied through violence and aggression and leads to resistance, and subsequently tensions, and hostility. The aggressive non-attachment of the interaction
model also reflects behaviors such as rejection, avoidance, and aggression, especially verbal aggression [30]. Unhappy parents seem to have difficulty with controlling their impulses, which can lead to coping behaviors in maladaptive children with communication problems. This situation would cause more aggression between parents and children. As such, increased happiness in parents significantly reduces bilateral aggression, even though, these parents face numerous economic, social, and occupational problems that need to be concerned [31]. Argye, a happiness theorist, regards social communication as one of the components of happiness, and believes that the family has the strongest social communication links; hence, it can be noted that communication and interactive models are among the functions of the family, which influence the degree of happiness in individuals. In a happy environment, especially in family relationships and interactions, happiness greatly influences how individuals interact and ultimately establishes a happy and lasting emotional environment in the family [32].

In the present study, happiness training enhanced the level of hopefulness in parents with autistic children. From this point of view, this study supports Firuozeh Moqadam’s et al. study as these researchers found that happiness training increased hope and that this effect

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
<th>The Intervention Group (n=12)</th>
<th>The Control Group (n=14)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>14.92±1.83</td>
<td>15.21±1.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>15.58±1.83</td>
<td>15.21±1.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>15.75±1.65</td>
<td>15.20±1.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptance</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>14.25±1.91</td>
<td>14.21±1.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>14.75±1.71</td>
<td>14.36±1.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>14.75±1.54</td>
<td>14.43±1.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>14.83±1.85</td>
<td>14.93±1.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>15.67±1.82</td>
<td>15.01±1.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>15.58±2.35</td>
<td>14.92±1.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independence</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>14.08±2.31</td>
<td>14.28±1.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>14.67±2.10</td>
<td>14.28±1.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>14.58±1.97</td>
<td>14.21±1.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggressive control</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>14.33±1.61</td>
<td>14.21±2.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>13.58±1.16</td>
<td>14.43±2.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>13.92±1.50</td>
<td>14.28±2.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggressive non-attachment</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>13.92±1.31</td>
<td>14.21±0.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>13.08±1.16</td>
<td>14.36±0.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>13.17±1.34</td>
<td>14.28±0.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hope</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>72.91±13.30</td>
<td>74.07±13.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>74.83±13.32</td>
<td>73.93±13.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>74.92±13.03</td>
<td>73.36±14.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

was also observed at the follow-up phase [33]. Fordyce’s studies also documented that happiness training increased happiness, terminated discomfort, coping with problems, and eliminated depression [15, 16]. Saul et al. also reported that happiness restored confidence, happiness, and hope in individuals and provided them with capital for their life [34]. Regarding the effects of happiness, several studies have claimed that happiness would treat mental illnesses, increase hope, promote mental strength, and enhance the defense power against stress. Firozeh Moghadam et al. suggest that happiness could be used to treat mental illnesses, increase hope, and enhance efforts to improve living standards in healthy individuals [33].

Argyle argued that happiness can be used to improve mental health. Parents of children with special needs, especially children with autism, lose their hopes and thus feel guilty. Hope promotes the adaptation power and is a sign of mental health, and having a plan for real life with the real goals represents an individual’s hope in life. One of the most important factors in human life is hope and effort to discover its hidden variables to improve life conditions [32]. Warr believed that the relationship between happiness and some of the psychological variables and constructs was mutual, indicating that increased happiness and good feelings would improve and increase hope in individuals and vice versa [35]. Hopeful people have a purposeful life, are more satisfied with their life, and feel happier because they assess their capabilities positively after achieving their predetermined goals, which leads to a greater sense of happiness [36].

The limitations found in this work are the small sample size, and lack of evaluation of other variables able to modify the capacity of establishing contacts with peers. Thus it is difficult to generalize the results to different types of population. It is recommended that similar studies be conducted on other communities such as parents of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, aggression, delinquency, etc.

5. Conclusion

According to the study findings, the Fordyce happiness training program influences the interactive patterns of relationship and hope in parents with autistic children. Accordingly, in addition to the other services available to the parents of autistic children, happiness should be considered.
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