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Objectives: The value of the client-centered approach for treating patients with various disabilities has been increasingly acknowledged. The aim of this study was to determine the test-retest reliability of the Persian version of the Canadian Occupational Performance Measure (COPM) as an individual outcome measure among Iranian elderly population.

Method: In this cross-sectional study, 60 older clients who fulfilled the inclusion criteria were randomly selected and underwent the measurements. Based on the performing procedure of the COPM, participants were asked to identify their most important problems within activities of daily living (ADL) and then; to score them according to the amount of ability and satisfaction they experience during those activities. All participants were assessed twice, with seven days interval. The correlations between data obtained from two assessments were calculated for ability and satisfaction sections separately using Pearson coefficient. Results: Data analysis showed that there are good correlation between mean scores of two assessments in both ability (rp=0.80, Pvalue<0.05) and satisfaction (rp=0.84, Pvalue<0.05) sections. Conclusion: Results obtained from this study enhance the value of the COPM as an individual outcome measure and suggest that Persian version of the COPM has adequate test-retest reliability in selected older populations.
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Introduction
The older population has been continuously growing in many countries including Iran [1-4]. This imposes ethical and economical costs to the governments and health care systems [2-3, 5]. The incidence of many disabling such as neuromuscular diseases, musculoskeletal disorders and sensory impairments is associated with aging [5].

The role of occupational therapists for management of aging-associated conditions is now well-established [6-7]. It has shown that persistent engagement in meaningful activities can be helpful for elderly people [6-7]. However, recognizing the real sources of disability rather than primary symptoms is necessary to set appropriate therapeutic goals and strategies, especially in older population that sometimes their actual needs and viewpoints are ignored [5, 8-11]. In other words, the focus in occupational therapy practice is moving to greater awareness of activity, participation, and improvement of quality of life rather than emphasizing on normalization and functional improvement [12-13]. Therefore, the client priorities and needs are placed at the center of evaluation, goal setting and treatment process [14]. The Canadian occupational performance measure (COPM) is an individual and client-centered outcome measure designed according to this perspective to help detect gradual changes in client self-perception of occupational performance and satisfaction in the areas of self care, productivity and leisure times [15-17]. It is a semi-structured interview, in which therapist helps the client to identify his or her problems performing daily activities [16, 18-19]. The COPM is used for various diagnoses and in all developmental stages [15-16, 20-21]. However, despite the COPM has received broad attention and is being used in many countries, little information...
about its psychometrics properties exists in the current literature especially those about its reliability [22-24]. Also, since the COPM is used for evaluation over the time, it is necessary to examine its test-retest reliability to ensure therapists about consistency of outcome measured over time [16-17]. The aim of the study was to investigate the test-retest reliability of the COPM among Iranian elderly population.

**Materials and Methods**

**Participants**

In this cross-sectional study sixty outpatient clients diagnosed as various medical conditions, selected randomly as the sample among those who were members of West Clubs of Tehran Senile Cultural House. Inclusion criteria were: being over 60 years old, no difficulty in speaking and understanding the Persian language, having no serious cognitive impairments (Mini-Mental Status Exam score>22), and perceiving limitations at least in two activities of daily living. All subjects signed a written consent approved by medical ethic committee of the University of Social Welfare and Rehabilitation Sciences.

**Procedure**

As the standard procedure [16,25], the COPM was conducted through a semi-structured interview between examiner and client. In the first step, clients were asked to identify their up to five most self-perceived problems within activities of daily living, including: self-care, productivity and leisure times. In this step, examiner completely explained the process of the test as well as gave clients some examples of activities within each area. After that, clients rated their identified problems based on their importance by a 10-point scale (1 indicate the least important and 10, the most important). In the next step, clients rated the identified problems based on both self-perceived ability (how much they are able to perform those specific activities) and satisfaction (how much satisfactory felt performing those specific activities) separately in a 10-point scale like earlier step. In the ability part, 1 and 10 indicated minimum and maximum ability respectively, to perform identified activities. Similarly, in the satisfaction part, 1 and 10 indicated minimum and maximum satisfaction felt performing identified activities. After completion of this step, scores of ability and satisfaction were multiplied separately by the importance scores to obtain baseline ratings. In this way, each client had two separate scores which ranged from 1 to 100. Individual's score in each part were added together independently for the identified problems and then divided by the number of total rated activities to provide scores that could be used for comparison across time.

To investigate the test-retest reliability of this version of COPM, all subjects were assessed twice at seven days interval. A single examiner performed the test for all subjects for first and second assessments. Clients received no intervention between two occasions.

**Data analysis**

Statistical calculations were performed using software package SPSS for windows, version 16.0. As the conventional statistical procedures, the significance level was set at \( \alpha = .05 \) for all analyses. To determine the consistency of identified problems between two occasions, the number of problems which was the same in two sessions was divided by the total number of identified problems in the first assessment for each client. Thus, there was one score for each client which range from 0 to 1. Then, the mean scores obtained by this manner considered as the consistency of problems identified through the COPM.

The test-retest reliability of the COPM was established in the ability and satisfaction sections separately. In order to determine the test-retest reliability of COPM in each section, Pearson coefficient was used.

**Results**

Of the 60 clients who underwent the measurement at the first session, two participants stated that their medical conditions have changed by the seven days at reassessment; therefore they were excluded from the study and data analysis performed for remained 58 clients. Total time spent for administrating the COPM for each client at each session ranged between 15 and 25 minutes and generally administrating the COPM was easy according to examiner.

The mean age of the participants was 69±6.71 which range from 60 to 83 and the ratio of the male/female was 41.7 / 58.3.

The contribution of different categories of diagnoses was as follow: orthopedic disorders (36%), neurologic conditions 11%, sensory impairments (15%), and mixed-disorders (38%). A total of 225 items were identified by 58 clients as the most important
problems in activities of daily living at the first assessment and 231 at reassessment. At the both sessions the number of identified problems ranged between 2 and 5. Although broad range of problems was recorded by participants, all of them fell generally in three main categories including self-care (57%), productivity (26%), and leisure times (17%). Among total 225 problems identified as the priorities at the first assessment, 184 items repeated at the second session and the mean score, observed for consistency of identified problems between two sessions was 78%. However, the sequence of the identified problems in the second assessment was not similar to first assessment in all cases; the rates of similarity observed for first to fifth identified priorities were as follow respectively: 76%, 66%, 74%, 74%, and 50%.

The correlation coefficient of ability scores for two assessments was 0.80 (P<0.05). In the satisfaction section, correlation coefficient for two recorded scores was 0.084 (P<0.05). These observations are summarized in table 1.

Table 1. Consistency of identified problems and correlation coefficient for ability and satisfaction section between two sessions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total problem</th>
<th>consistency</th>
<th>pearson's rho</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First assessment</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second assessment</td>
<td>231</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.084</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion
This study was run to determine that if the Persian version of COPM has appropriate test-retest reliability when used in Iranian older population; if so, the value of COPM as a helpful tool for use in client-centered approach would be increased. It is suggested that scales used for the clinical purposes should have reliability coefficients ≥0.80 or even ≥0.90 [26]. Based on this information, we found that consistency of COPM was moderate for identified problems (ranged from 50% to 78%), while good reliability of the COPM was found regarding performance and satisfaction scores (r=0.80 for performance and r=0.84 for satisfaction scores). Therefore, our results support previous studies that pointed to test-retest reliability of the COPM is moderate for the item pool, but is good for the performance and satisfaction scores [18, 20, 27-29].

These observations can be explained by pointing to the belief that overall performance and satisfaction is related to some aspects of quality of life and well-being [28, 30-33]; Therefore, the way in which clients score themselves depend mostly on their general health statuses that unlikely change after few days without certain interventions. On the other hand, since the COPM interview itself initiates the problem-solving process [28, 34], the way in which clients rated their perceived problems considering their priority could be influenced after first interview. In other words, an increased level of insight might cause the clients to rate their problems in a different way at reassessment [28]. Therefore, paying attention to dynamic nature of human-being, it is expectable that after first interview clients consider some aspects of everyday life which already were ignored [18]. The semi-structured design of the COPM may also lead clients sometimes to overestimate or underestimate their problems [15, 28]. These reasons can explain why moderate consistency was found for problems identified through COPM within one week.

The broad variation of problems identified by the clients in this study advocates the perspective that considers individual differences regarding physical, social and environmental factors in therapeutic goal-setting even for same diagnoses [22, 35-37]. However, to eliminate the influence of the different environmental factors on final results, all clients assessed in their place of residence where were same and identical in both sessions.

Like previous studies [38-39], we found that among all identified problems, the greatest contribution belonged to self-care activities and this may be the case for retirement of most clients. Therefore, it was expected that their most emphasis centers on primary needs such as transporting and toileting. There are some controversies about applying the COPM in persons with cognitive impairments. In these cases it is suggested that the caregiver should be interviewed instead of client [16]. But since it maybe difficult for the caregiver to judge exactly instead of the client [40], therefore we include the study only for clients with intact cognitive skills to prevent potential interference of cognitive problems on the final results. However, we can not generalize our observation to all older population across the Iran, because the evaluated sample was not proper representative for all subgroups of Iranian older people.
Conclusion
Based on our results, the Persian version of COPM can be used as a reliable tool for detecting changes in client-perceived problems with ADL in selected older populations. Therefore, the study confirms the value of COPM as a flexible and helpful individual outcome measure for various types of diagnoses.
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