

Original Article

The Effect of Rocker Bar Ankle Foot Orthosis on Functional Mobility in Post-Stroke Hemiplegic Patients

Farzad Farmani

Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran

Mohammad Ali Mohseni-Bandpei*

Iranian Research Centre on Aging

University of Social Welfare and Rehabilitation Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Mahmood Bahramizadeh; Gholamreza Aminian

University of Social Welfare and Rehabilitation Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Mohammad Reza Nikoo

Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran

Objectives: Ankle Foot Orthoses (AFOs) are widely utilized to improve walking ability in hemiplegic patients. The present study aimed to evaluate the effect of Rocker bar Ankle Foot Orthosis (RAFO) on functional mobility in post-stroke hemiplegic patients.

Methods: Fifteen hemiplegic patients (men and women) who were at least 6-months post-stroke and able to walk without assistive device for at least 10 meters voluntarily participated in this study. The patients were examined with and without RAFO. Their functional mobility was evaluated through 10-meter walk test and Timed Up and Go (TUG) test. Also, paired t-test was used to analyze obtained data.

Results: When patients used RAFO, their gait speed significantly increased ($p < 0.05$). Also, the time of performing TUG test experienced a significant decrease using RAFO compared with utilizing shoe only ($p < 0.05$).

Discussion: RAFO led to a significant improvement in functional mobility in hemiplegic patient's secondary to stroke. It seems that, it has been due to the positive effect of rocker modification on improving push off and transferring weight during stance phase of gait.

Keywords: Rocker bar Ankle Foot Orthosis, functional mobility, hemiplegic patients

Submitted: 19 July 2015

Accepted: 10 August 2015

Introduction

Hemiplegia secondary to stroke contribute to problems in standing and walking. Hemiplegic patients suffer from poor balance, slow walking and weak muscles. Also, lower limb is often accompanied with an equinovarus deformity in ankle-foot complex (1-3). Ankle Foot Orthoses (AFOs) are widely prescribed for hemiplegia to improve balance and facilitate gait. Various studies have reported positive effectiveness of AFOs on walking ability in hemiplegic patients (4,5). AFOs help patients in both stance and swing phases of gait through stabilizing ankle-foot complex and preventing abrupt foot drop (6,7).

For hemiplegic patients, gait speed is a key factor which can directly affect their activity daily living. Therefore, enhancing walking speed is an important goal regarding prescribing AFO for individuals with hemiplegia (8). Preferred walking speed in healthy subjects is 1.18 m/s for men above 64 and 0.96 m/s for women above 60. Although Solid AFO (SAFO) enhances walking speed in hemiplegic patients, acquired speed is far less than that of healthy subjects (9). Thus, some efforts have been done to increase gait velocity more than that with common Solid AFO (7,10-12). Considering the positive effect of rocker bar modification added to orthoses on

* All correspondences to: Mohammad Ali Mohseni-Bandpei, Visiting Professor, University Institute of Physical Therapy, Faculty of Allied Health, University of Lahore, Lahore, Pakistan, email: < Mohseni_bandpei@yahoo.com >

walking velocity reported in previous studies (13-5), we hypothesized that adding rocker bar to an SAFO could potentially improve walking speed in hemiplegic patients. On the other hand, since Timed Up and Go test (TUG) is a valid and reliable test which could evaluate several tasks concurrently, and consequently, examines acquired functional ability in patients (16-18), we used that to investigate the effectiveness of RAFO on functional mobility in hemiplegic patients, in addition to using 10-meter walk test to investigate gait speed (19-21). Thus, the aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of Rocker bar AFO (RAFO) on functional mobility in post-stroke hemiplegic patients.

Methods

Fifteen post-stroke hemiplegic patients including ten men and five women voluntarily participated in this study. Inclusion criteria were being at the age between 40 to 70, having the ability to walk without assistive device for at least ten meters and having maximum spasticity of 3 according to Modified Ashworth Scale. Exclusion criteria were having deformity in spine or lower limbs (except for equinovarus in ankle joint in paretic side), having history of surgery in spine or lower limbs, having severe cardiovascular, respiratory or cognitive problems. Table (1) indicates demographic characteristics of the patients.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the patients (N=15)

Gender	Age mean ((year) mean ± SD)	Weight ((kg) mean ± SD)	Paretic side	Months after stroke (mean ± SD)
Male: 10	54.23 ± 9.65	66.95 ± 11	Left: 11	22.13 ± 14
Female: 5			Right: 4	

Patients were provided with a custom made SAFO and RAFO. In order to provide RAFO, SAFO was modified with a rocker sole added bellow the footplate. Rocker modification had 2 cm height. Rocker bar started slightly proximal to metatarsal heads and its angle was 15 degrees. Orthoses were prepared and fitted to the patients' limb by an expert orthotics. All the patients were examined in three conditions including shoe only, with SAFO and with RAFO in random sequences. Also, all the participants used the same shoes.

Measure by Preferred Gait Speed (PGS): The participants were instructed to walk for 10 meters at their self-selected speed. The time of doing task was recorded and divided into passed distance to obtain gait speed (m/s). Timed Up and Go test: The patients were asked stand up from a standard chair, walk for 3 meters, turn, walk back to the chair and sit down again. The time of task was recorded in second. All the measurements were done three times and their average data was considered for final analysis. Means and standard deviation of the performed measurements were recorded. All participants signed informed consent prior to performing the tests.

Furthermore, the current study was received ethical approval from Medical Ethics Board at the University of Social Welfare and Rehabilitation Sciences.

The Repeated measures analysis of variance test (ANOVA) was used to analyze the differences among measurements. Tukey Honestly Significance Difference (THSD) post hoc tests were used to identify specific difference between two groups. We utilized SPSS statistical software version 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) to analyze obtained data. The level of $\alpha=0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results

Regarding functional test parameters including Preferred Walking Speed and Timed Up and Go test in three conditions table (2) shows the data in shoes only, with SAFO and with RAFO. There were significant differences between shoes only condition and using SAFO or RAFO ($p<0.05$). Both SAFO and RAFO led to faster gait speed and less timed TUG test compared with shoes only condition. Also, RAFO significantly resulted in higher gait speed and less time in doing TUG compared to SAFO ($p<0.05$).

Table 2. The Mean ± SD of functional mobility parameters in three conditions: Shoes only, with SAFO and with RAFO

	Shoes only	SAFO	RAFO	P1	P2	P3
PGS (m/s)	0.36 ± 0.11	0.54 ± 0.26	0.73 ± 0.24	0.033	0.018	0.029
TUG (s)	28.06 ± 4.06	23.18 ± 3.55	20.36 ± 3.81	0.021	0.006	0.045

P1: Comparison between shoes only condition and SAFO

P2: Comparison between Shoes only condition and RAFO

P3: Comparison between SAFO and RAFO

SAFO: Solid Ankle Foot Orthosis, RAFO: Rocker bar Ankle Foot Orthosis, PGS: Preferred Gait Speed, Timed Up and Go: TUG, m: meter, s: second, $p<0.05$.

Discussion

The aim of the present study was to investigate the effect of RAFO on functional mobility parameters including gait speed and TUG in post-stroke hemiplegic patients compared with SAFO. The results of this study showed that SAFO led to significant improvement in functional mobility which was consistent with previous research. That is, SAFO resulted in increased gait speed and decreased TUG time compared to shoes only condition. Also, RAFO contributed to further more improved functional mobility in patients. Comparing RAFO to SAFO, there were significant differences in both gait speed and the time of performing TUG test.

According to Perry and Burnfield (22), normal function of the foot consists of three sequential rockers: heel rocker (first rocker), ankle rocker (second rocker) and third rocker (forefoot rocker). Research have indicated that SAFO enhances gait speed through improving first and second rockers while it has no positive effect on third rocker (23-5). In some cases, it has been shown that AFO disturbs forefoot rocker in late stance (25,26). On the other hand, it is proved that rocker bar could improve weight progression on foot and change kinetic and kinematic function of the ankle-foot complex (15,27). Therefore, it has been suggested to be used in cases which ankle-foot complex has been immobilized such as utilizing orthoses (15). It seems that in the current study, modifying AFO in forefoot part resulted in improving forefoot rocker and therefore, push-off and body weight transferring in

late stance. This finding was consistent with previous research which showed that rocker modification changes biomechanical function of the foot and ankle during walking (14,15). Similarly, it was recorded that lower limb orthoses modified with forefoot rocker increase gait speed in other neurologically disabled patients (13). However, evaluating the precise mechanism of this improvement seems to be required in another study. The limitation of the present study was investigating kinematic and kinetic characteristics of the participants' gait which is highly suggested to be done in the future. Also, evaluation of the RAFO effectiveness is suggested for further research. Also, short sample size was another limitation of the current study.

Conclusion

Finding of this study suggests that RAFO could potentially improve gait ability compared to SAFO or shoes only condition in post-stroke hemiplegic patients. Examining the effect of RAFO on hemiplegic gait in a study with larger sample size could further prove obtained results.

Acknowledgement

We want to thank all the patients participated in this study.

Conflict of interest - The authors of the present study report no conflict of interest.

References

1. Thijssen DH, Paulus R, van Uden CJ, Kooloos JG, Hopman MT. Decreased energy cost and improved gait pattern using a new orthosis in persons with long-term stroke. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*. 2007;88(2):181-6. Epub 2007/02/03.
2. Wang RY, Lin PY, Lee CC, Yang YR. Gait and balance performance improvements attributable to ankle-foot orthosis in subjects with hemiparesis. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil*. 2007;86(7):556-62. Epub 2007/06/22.
3. Pohl M, Mehrholz J. Immediate effects of an individually designed functional ankle-foot orthosis on stance and gait in hemiparetic patients. *Clin Rehabil*. 2006;20(4):324-30. Epub 2006/05/25.
4. Tyson SF, Sadeghi-Demneh E, Nester CJ. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the effect of an ankle-foot orthosis on gait biomechanics after stroke. *Clin Rehabil*. 2013;27(10):879-91. Epub 2013/06/27.
5. Tyson SF, Kent RM. Effects of an ankle-foot orthosis on balance and walking after stroke: a systematic review and pooled meta-analysis. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*. 2013;94(7):1377-85. Epub 2013/02/19.
6. De Wit DC, Buurke JH, Nijlant JM, Ijzerman MJ, Hermens HJ. The effect of an ankle-foot orthosis on walking ability in chronic stroke patients: a randomized controlled trial. *Clin Rehabil*. 2004;18(5):550-7. Epub 2004/08/06.
7. Erel S, Uygur F, Engin Simsek I, Yakut Y. The effects of dynamic ankle-foot orthoses in chronic stroke patients at three-month follow-up: a randomized controlled trial. *Clin Rehabil*. 2011;25(6):515-23. Epub 2011/02/03.
8. Nolan KJ, Savalia KK, Lequerica AH, Elovic EP. Objective assessment of functional ambulation in adults with hemiplegia using ankle foot orthotics after stroke. *PM R*. 2009;1(6):524-9. Epub 2009/07/25.
9. Gok H, Kucukdeveci A, Altinkaynak H, Yavuzer G, Ergin S. Effects of ankle-foot orthoses on hemiparetic gait. *Clin Rehabil*. 2003;17(2):137-9. Epub 2003/03/11.
10. Danielsson A, Willen C, Sunnerhagen KS. Measurement of energy cost by the physiological cost index in walking after stroke. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*. 2007;88(10):1298-303. Epub 2007/10/03.
11. Yamamoto S, Tomokiyo N, Yasui T, Kawaguchi T. Effects of plantar flexion resistive moment generated by an ankle-foot orthosis with an oil damper on the gait of stroke

- patients: a pilot study. *Prosthetics and orthotics international*. 2013;37(3):212-21. Epub 2012/10/19.
12. Yamamoto S, Hagiwara A, Mizobe T, Yokoyama O, Yasui T. Development of an ankle-foot orthosis with an oil damper. *Prosthetics and orthotics international*. 2005;29(3):209-19. Epub 2006/02/10.
 13. Arazpour M, Hutchins SW, Ahmadi Bani M, Curran S, Bahramizadeh M, Saberi H, et al. The influence of a rocker sole adaptation on gait parameters in spinal cord injury patients ambulating with the advanced reciprocating gait orthosis - a pilot study. *Disabil Rehabil Assist Technol*. 2013. Epub 2013/09/26.
 14. Forghany S, Nester CJ, Richards B. The effect of rollover footwear on the rollover function of walking. *J Foot Ankle Res*. 2013;6(1):24. Epub 2013/07/10.
 15. Wu WL, Rosenbaum D, Su FC. The effects of rocker sole and SACH heel on kinematics in gait. *Med Eng Phys*. 2004;26(8):639-46. Epub 2004/10/09.
 16. Deathe AB, Miller WC. The L test of functional mobility: measurement properties of a modified version of the timed "up & go" test designed for people with lower-limb amputations. *Phys Ther*. 2005;85(7):626-35. Epub 2005/06/29.
 17. Ng SS, Hui-Chan CW. The timed up & go test: its reliability and association with lower-limb impairments and locomotor capacities in people with chronic stroke. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*. 2005;86(8):1641-7. Epub 2005/08/09.
 18. Faria CD, Teixeira-Salmela LF, Silva EB, Nadeau S. Expanded timed up and go test with subjects with stroke: reliability and comparisons with matched healthy controls. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*. 2012;93(6):1034-8. Epub 2012/03/03.
 19. Peters DM, Fritz SL, Krotish DE. Assessing the reliability and validity of a shorter walk test compared with the 10-Meter Walk Test for measurements of gait speed in healthy, older adults. *J Geriatr Phys Ther*. 2013;36(1):24-30. Epub 2012/03/15.
 20. Adell E, Wehmhorner S, Rydwik E. The test-retest reliability of 10 meters maximal walking speed in older people living in a residential care unit. *J Geriatr Phys Ther*. 2013;36(2):74-7. Epub 2012/08/10.
 21. Amatachaya S, Naewla S, Srisim K, Arrayawichanon P, Siritaratiwat W. Concurrent validity of the 10-meter walk test as compared with the 6-minute walk test in patients with spinal cord injury at various levels of ability. *Spinal Cord*. 2014;52(4):333-6. Epub 2014/01/22.
 22. Perry J, Burnfield JM. *Gait Analysis: Normal and Pathological Function*. 2nd ed. Thorofare, New Jersey: SLACK Incorporated; 2010.
 23. Yamamoto S, Fuchi M, Yasui T. Change of rocker function in the gait of stroke patients using an ankle foot orthosis with an oil damper: immediate changes and the short-term effects. *Prosthetics and orthotics international*. 2011;35(4):350-9. Epub 2011/09/29.
 24. Desloovere K, Molenaers G, Van Gestel L, Huenaeerts C, Van Campenhout A, Callewaert B, et al. How can push-off be preserved during use of an ankle foot orthosis in children with hemiplegia? A prospective controlled study. *Gait Posture*. 2006;24(2):142-51. Epub 2006/08/29.
 25. Nolan KJ, Yarossi M. Preservation of the first rocker is related to increases in gait speed in individuals with hemiplegia and AFO. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)*. 2011;26(6):655-60. Epub 2011/05/10.
 26. Fatone S, Hansen AH. Effect of ankle-foot orthosis on roll-over shape in adults with hemiplegia. *J Rehabil Res Dev*. 2007;44(1):11-20. Epub 2007/06/07.
 27. Sobhani S, Bredeweg S, Dekker R, Kluitenberg B, van den Heuvel E, Hijmans J, et al. Rocker shoe, minimalist shoe, and standard running shoe: a comparison of running economy. *J Sci Med Sport*. 2014;17(3):312-6. Epub 2013/05/29.