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ABSTRACT

Objectives: This study was aimed to determine the Effect effect of incentive spirometry on pulmonary function tests in patients undergoing hemodialysis.

Methods: This study was a randomized clinical trial conducted on hemodialysis patients. The obtained Data were collected from 26 patients in the experimental and 26 patients in the control group. In the control group, patients received their routine care and the experimental group intervention for the experimental group was the incentive spirometry program. The patients were encouraged to use the device for 5–10 breaths per session, every hour while awake for two months. The Pulmonary function tests including FVC, FEV1, FEV1/FVC were evaluated at the beginning, and then 2 months after the starting of the study in two both groups.

Results: The findings showed no significant differences between the two groups in terms of demographic characteristics and FVC, FEV1, FEV1/FVC before the intervention. The FVC, FEV1 increased significantly in the intervention group compared to the control group (P<0.05) after two months, while no significant improvement was observed in the FEV1/FVC ratio.

Discussion: This study showed significant differences in pulmonary function (FVC, FEV1) in the two groups. Therefore, incentive spirometry seems to be an effective choice for improvements in pulmonary function test in hemodialysis patients. But considering the limited studies available, further research is required in this area.
Highlights

- Pulmonary complication is common in patients with chronic renal failure (CRF) who need supportive care in this regard.

- Incentive spirometer seems to be a safe medical tool and an effective choice for improving the pulmonary function tests such as Forced Vital Capacity (FVC), Forced Expiratory Volume in One second (FEV1) in hemodialysis patients.

- No significant improvement was observed in the FEV1/FVC ratio in two groups of this study, so further research is required in this area.

Plain Language Summary

Pulmonary complication is common in patients undergoing hemodialysis. Incentive spirometry is a safe way for treatment of patients with pulmonary dysfunction but there is no consensus about the effect of incentive spirometry on pulmonary function tests. We conducted a study on two groups (control and experimental). The control group received their routine care and the experimental group received routine hospital services in addition to the incentive spirometry program. They were initially trained for incentive spirometry and then encouraged to continue it for 2 months. Our study showed that the incentive spirometry had a positive effect on pulmonary function tests (FVC, FEV1) in patients on hemodialysis. Incentive spirometer could be an effective device for improving lung function in hemodialysis patients but considering the limited studies available, further research is required in this area.

1. Introduction

Chronic Renal Failure (CRF) is a complicated disease and is rapidly increasing worldwide. It is among major causes of death and disability [1]. CRF is a systemic disorder with destructive effects on all organs [2]. The kidneys perform many crucial functions including regulation of water and blood pressure, and acid-base and electrolyte balance, and participation in hormones functions. Patients with CRF require hemodialysis or peritoneal dialysis for survival, because these can partially replace the impaired kidney function [3]. Most patients with CRF are treated with hemodialysis [4]. While hemodialysis can replace some of the lost function of kidneys [5], some patients develop multiple complications in the musculoskeletal, cardiovascular, metabolic and respiratory systems [3].

Pulmonary complication is common in CRF patients and those on hemodialysis [6, 7]. Pulmonary edema is common in chronic dialysis patients. Factors such as permeability of the capillaries, intravascular and interstitial volume overload, high blood pressure, and congestive heart failure increase the risk of occurrence and progression of pulmonary edema in CRF. These changes alter physiological and mechanical function of the lungs and subsequent increase in airway resistance [8]. Also most patients on hemodialysis experience some degree of hypoxemic hypoxia at the beginning or towards the end of treatment session. Respiratory failure secondary to hyperkalemia, pleural effusion, and pulmonary hypertension is life threatening in chronic hemodialysis [9].

Mallamaci et al. indicated that pulmonary congestion is highly prevalent in symptomatic and asymptomatic hemodialysis patients [10]. Also Mousavi et al. demonstrated a surprisingly high prevalence of pulmonary hypertension among patients with end-stage renal disease receiving hemodialysis, in Iran [11]. In fact, some authors have reported that 75% of patients on long-term hemodialysis present restrictive spirometry abnormalities [12]. Cury et al. indicated that patients with CRF on dialysis showed impaired functional capacity such as FVC and FEV1, and lung function impairment [3]. Although the incidence of pulmonary complications in chronic hemodialysis patients is high, studies in this area are overlooked in Iran.

Incentive spirometry is a safe way for treatment of patient with pulmonary dysfunction. The effect of incentive spirometry on pulmonary ventilation and function is investigated in different diseases [13-17]. There is no consensus about the effect of incentive spirometry on pulmonary function tests [13]. Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate the effect of incentive spirometry on pulmonary function tests in patients on hemodialysis.
2. Methods

Study setting and participants

This was a randomized clinical trial conducted on hemodialysis patients in Hasheminejhad Hospital, in Tehran, Iran. The inclusion criteria comprised having Forced Vital Capacity (FVC) and forced expiratory volume during the first second of forced expiration (FEV₁) of at least 15% less than the normal ranges (according to the age and height of the patient) [18], being able to perform spirometry, having normal chest and vertebral column, being 18 years of age or older, being Persian literate, receiving hemodialysis 3 times a week for at least 6 months. The exclusion criteria were having the history of respiratory diseases such as asthma and tuberculosis or current respiratory infections. Samples were selected by a random number Table, then divided into the experimental and control groups by a Randomized Block Design. Age, gender, height and duration of hemodialysis were considered as the blocking factors.

According to Haeffener et al. [19] with 95% confidence interval and 99% power, 16.4–17 patients were enrolled in each group due to the difference of 4% FVC and FEV₁ in the intervention and control groups and based on the following formula. A total of 52 patients (26 per group) participated in the study, considering a 50% sample loss.

\[
\frac{(\sigma_1^2+\sigma_2^2)(N_1^2+N_2^2)}{(N_1+N_2)^2} = \frac{(1.96+1.28)^2(4^2+3^2)}{4^2} = 16.4 \approx 17
\]

\[
17+(50\%)17 \approx 26
\]

Intervention

Fifty-two hemodialysis patients were assigned to the experimental (n=26) and control (n=26) groups (Table 1). All study participant were hemodialysis patients referred to the hospital three times a week for hemodialysis. The control group, received the routine treatment provided by the hospital such as general medical and nursing care and their dialytic care (checking the patient's weight and nutrition). We measured the pulmonary function of all patients in both groups at the onset of the study. Pulmonary care and educating patients about the prevention of pulmonary dysfunction was not routine.

The experimental group received routine hospital services in addition to the incentive spirometry program. The experimental group were initially trained for incentive spirometry by the researcher. After a quiet expiration, the patient was encouraged to take gradual and slow maximal inspirations through the mouthpiece of the device and hold each breath for as long as possible. The patients were instructed to use the device 5–10 breaths per session at a minimum, every hour while awake.

The administration of incentive spirometry started at the beginning of study for every patient and continued for 2 months at home [14]. Also, we provided them an incentive spirometer with a pictorial educational booklet containing all the verbally-provided training materials. All incentive spirometers provided to the intervention group were Shree Chem or similar brand. The routine pulmonary function test including FVC, FEV₁, FEV₁/FVC were demonstrated at the beginning and 2 month after the initiation of the study to both groups. All patients were evaluated by the pulmonary function test before hemodialysis treatment in the hospital. The pulmonary function was evaluated by a spirometer (Model: Flowhandy ZAN type: 3.1) in both groups. We used the same spirometer during the study and its reliability was assessed by the test-retest method. For this reason, FVC, FEV₁, FEV₁/FVC were evaluated in 15 patients for 2 times within 5 minutes under the same conditions, and the correlation coefficient was 95%.

Data analysis

The obtained data were analyzed by SPSS version 18. P<0.05 was considered as statistically significant. Descriptive statistics such as mean, standard deviation, percentage, etc. were used to analyze the samples characteristics. The Independent t-test and the Chi-square test were used to identify the differences among the groups.

3. Results

Most of the patients were female (53.82%), aged between 40 and 49 years (48.07%), weighted between 60 and 79 kg (53.84%) and with the height between 161 and 175 cm (55.76%). There was no significant differences between the two groups in terms of demographic characteristics (Table 1). There were no significant differences in FVC, FEV₁, FEV₁/FVC between the patients in the experimental and control groups before the intervention (Table 2). The FVC and FEV₁ increased significantly in the intervention group compared to the control group (P<0.5) after two months, while no significant improvement was observed in the FEV₁/FVC ratio (Table 3).

4. Discussion

Our study showed that the incentive spirometry had a positive effect on pulmonary function tests (FVC, FEV₁) in patients on hemodialysis. An incentive spirometer is a
A medical device designed to achieve and maintain maximal inspiration and help keeping the lungs as healthy as possible. An incentive spirometer is used to help patients improve their respiration function. It is an easy-to-use device for patients. Visual feedback on airflow and volume is among important advantages of this device. Its prolonged use results in effective inspiration, more controlled flow, and greater enthusiasm to practice [17].

We found that two-month use of incentive spirometer improved pulmonary function (FVC, FEV1) in hemodialysis patients, and incentive spirometer could be an effective device for improving lung function in hemodialysis patients. However, the efficacy of incentive spirometry remains controversial [13, 15]. Kotani et al. indicated that using incentive spirometer for two weeks improved respiratory motion and lung function in healthy individuals, and they suggested that incentive spirometer may be an effective preoperative medical device for modifying pulmonary function during the perioperative period [20].

Thomas and McIntosh in a systematic review and meta-analysis found that deep breathing practice by incentive spirometer appeared to be more useful than no physical therapy intervention in preventing postoperative pulmonary problems [16]. However, Agostini et al. indicated that incentive spirometry did not affect the frequency of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Statistical Test</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>14(53.8)</td>
<td>14(53.8)</td>
<td>$X^2=0.00$</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>12(46.2)</td>
<td>12(46.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td></td>
<td>45.76±2.11</td>
<td>48.12±3.01</td>
<td>Independent Samples t-test</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td>67.65±3.17</td>
<td>70.14±2.96</td>
<td>Independent Samples t-test</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td>165±1.89</td>
<td>168±4.78</td>
<td>Independent Samples t-test</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of hemodialysis patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Pulmonary Function Tests</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
<th>Statistical Test</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before intervention</td>
<td>FEV1* (L)</td>
<td>2.12±0.54</td>
<td>2.04±0.39</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FVC** (L)</td>
<td>2.81±0.58</td>
<td>2.66±0.53</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FEV1/FVC (%)</td>
<td>75.37±13.57</td>
<td>77.76±11.4</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Pulmonary function test results of the study groups before intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Pulmonary Function Tests</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
<th>Statistical Test</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before intervention</td>
<td>FEV1* (L)</td>
<td>2.31±0.48</td>
<td>2.03±0.40</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FVC** (L)</td>
<td>2.98±0.61</td>
<td>2.66±0.53</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FEV1/FVC (%)</td>
<td>77.39±11.14</td>
<td>77.96±8.27</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Pulmonary function test results of study groups two month after intervention
postoperative pulmonary complications and overall did not progress the recovery of respiratory function or influence the length of stay [21]. In a systematic review, Carvalho et al. [15] concluded that there was not sufficient evidence to support using incentive spirometer in the management of surgical patients in order to provide visual feedback of airflow and volume. The usage of it results in a long period of effective inspiration, higher enthusiasm to practice, and more controlled flow [17].

Most studies in this area concluded that one reason for the effectiveness of incentive spirometry in pulmonary function is continuous and correct implementation of incentive spirometer. The experimental group of our study were encouraged to use the device for 5–10 breaths per session, at a minimum, every hour while awake for two months. Maybe consistency in the implementation of incentive spirometer and 2 months duration of its use was the strength and advantage to the effectiveness of our study. Navari et al. indicated that dialysis with bicarbonate dialysate versus acetate dialysates causes significant improvements in spirometry parameters in men on maintenance dialysis [22]. Thus, maybe some factors (e.g. type of dialysates) influenced the interpretation of our findings and this could be a limitation of the study.

5. Conclusion

This study showed significant differences in pulmonary function between the two groups. Therefore, incentive spirometer seems to be a safe medical tool and an effective choice for improving the pulmonary function test in hemodialysis patients. However, considering the limited studies available, further research is required in this area.

Ethical Considerations

Compliance with ethical guidelines

The project was approved by the Ethics Committee of Tehran University of Medical Sciences and the informed consent form was obtained from all of the study participants. All participants were informed that their participation was voluntary and the study would not induce any physical harm. We ensured strict anonymity and confidentiality. Our study was confirmed under the Iranian Registry of Clinical Trials (IRCT2012080522226N10).

Funding

This research has been supported by Tehran University of Medical Sciences & a health services grant.

Conflicts of interest

The authors certify that they have no affiliation with or involvement in any organization or entity with any financial, or non- financial interest in the subject matter or materials dismissed in this manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the nurses and physicians for their participation in this study. Special thanks go to the patients who devoted their time to make this project a success.

References


