Research Paper: Exploring the Challenges in Orthotics and Prosthetics Clinical Learning Environments: A Qualitative Study

Saeed Shahabi1,2, Mohammad Kamali1,3*, Hossein Mobaraki1,3, Narges Shafaroodi4, Hassan Saeedi3,5

1. Department of Rehabilitation Management, School of Rehabilitation Sciences, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.
2. Health Management and Economics Research Center, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.
3. Rehabilitation Research Center, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.
4. Department of Occupational Therapy, School of Rehabilitation Sciences, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.
5. Department of Orthotics and Prosthetics, School of Rehabilitation Sciences, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

Objectives: The present study explored challenges in orthotics and prosthetics clinical learning environments in Iran.

Methods: A qualitative content analysis method was used to obtain data on orthotics and prosthetics clinical educator’s experiences. Qualitative data were obtained using semi-structured in-depth interviews involving 7 clinical educators; all selected by the maximum variation purposeful sampling method. Interviews continued until data saturation.

Results: During the interview sessions, notes and ideas were classified and assorted. The study themes were relationships, personal characteristics, facilities, and policies. Analysis of the qualitative data provided insights into the barriers to the desired delivery of clinical education services.

Discussion: The present research contributes to clinical educators’ experiences in respect of the clinical learning process. Clinical learning concerning orthotics and prosthetics education remains crucial. The findings emphasize the importance of listening to educators, and consider how relationships and contexts impact clinical learning. Understanding the challenges in clinical education environments has increased the quality of clinical education in orthotics and prosthetics field.
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Highlights

- Interpersonal and group relationships affect the quality of clinical education.
- Individual and professional characteristics of students and clinical educators influence clinical learning.
- Another important factor is the characteristics of the clinical environment and facilities.

Plain Language Summary

The provision of orthotic and prosthetic services requires the presence of skilled therapists. Clinical education is one of the main pillars of orthotics and prosthetics. Providing educational services to students is done by clinical educators who are responsible for both healthcare provision to clients, and clinical education to students. Clinical education occurs in an environment where the provision of services to clients leads to human resource limitations and fiscal pressure. Therefore, recognizing the experiences of clinical educators from the clinical education process can provide the necessary ground for improving clinical education in the field of orthotics and prosthetics.

1. Introduction

The fulfillment of clinical placements and exercising supervision play a key role in healthcare students’ achievement of desired learning outcomes [1, 2], the growth of their professional characteristics and capability [3], as well as mastering their clinical skills [4]. Clinical education, which is a kind of learning through experience, includes mastering clinical skills at work. Clinical education is the best option for beginners to enhance their clinical reasoning expertise [5].

In clinical teaching, teachers are required to consider the right developmental level of each learner and empower their participation in workplace activities appropriate to their stage of learning [6]. The development of capable rehabilitation therapists like orthotics and prosthetics requires clinical practice experience at the undergraduate level.

Successful clinical education depends on the clinical educators, their capabilities and personal characteristics, and the support and encouragement they receive [7]. Clinical learning involves obtaining clinical experience and practicing the necessary skills through observation, participation, designing the treatment methods and applying it by considering all the clinical aspects, under the supervision of a teacher [8].

In spite of the importance of orthotics and prosthetics clinical education, there is a lack of clear understanding of assisting individuals involved in clinical instruction. When students join the clinical environment, they are faced with patient’s healthcare needs and their learning needs. Learning may improve in an appropriate clinical environment. The clinical environment is ideal for education [9]. Clinical education of orthotics and prosthetics students is conducted at three medical universities and the Iranian Red Crescent Institute (IRCI). Typically, orthotics and prosthetics students’ clinical practice initiates from the fifth and sixth semesters with observation courses. Then, students enroll the internship courses [10].

Several studies have suggested that learning environments greatly influence the clinical education process [11]. The clinical environment includes inpatient centers, as well as hospital outpatient and community settings, with their own specific issues. Researchers have repeatedly emphasized that the quality of clinical education environment is a strong reliable indicator of the overall quality of training courses [12].

Clinical educators are responsible for healthcare provision to clients, and clinical education to students, simultaneously. Clinical education occurs in an environment where the provision of services to clients leads to human resource limitations and fiscal pressures [13]. Therefore, the present research explored orthotics and prosthetics educators’ experiences in clinical learning environments in Iran.

2. Methods

This qualitative study was performed using content analysis method. Qualitative research clarifies the human condition in various contexts [14]. Qualitative
Content Analysis (QCA) is usually used to explain the textual data by applying the systematic coding process. Data analysis characterizes the categories, themes, and patterns [15]. QCA consists of conventional (inductive), directed (deductive) and summative methods [16]. Inductive QCA, which is most favored in data analysis, contributes to the improvement of theories, schematic models or conceptual frameworks [17].

Sampling and data collection methods

For participant recruitment, maximum variation and purposive sampling methods were applied. This purposeful sampling technique was specifically selected to ensure that the sample population would represent various factors relevant to the study objectives [18]. The study was performed from September 2016 to May 2017. Semi-structured interviews were performed, recorded and completely transcribed by 7 orthotics and prosthetics clinical educators affiliated to Iran University of Medical Sciences (IUMS), Isfahan University of Medical Sciences (MUI), University of Social Welfare and Rehabilitation Sciences (USWR), and IRCI (Table 1).

All interviews were conducted by the same researcher and continued until data saturation. In addition, the researcher recorded respondents’ body language and verbal information. The inclusion criteria for clinicians were ≥3 years of clinical education experience and providing clinical education services to students, during the research period. Prior to the beginning of each interview, the researcher informed the participants about the purpose of study and their right to withdraw from the study as desired.

The interview included open-ended questions on orthotics and prosthetics clinical educators’ experience regarding their clinical learning environment and factors influencing their clinical learning process. A guide was developed for the semi-structured interviews, and the following questions were asked, which focused on the participants’ experiences as clinical educators: 1. Describe an internship session; 2. What are the positive or negative factors for clinical learning?; 3. What is the most enjoyable moment or event that has been implicated in your mind in the clinical environment?; and 4. What are the factors that can influence the formation of a person as orthotics and prosthetics?

The interviews lasted for 43-65 min, with a mean duration of 52.7 min. Exclusion criterion was the participant’s relinquishment in any step of the study.

Ethical considerations

The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Iran University of Medical Sciences (Code: IR.IUMS.REC 1395.9411531004). The study was conducted following the instructions issued by the Declaration of Helsinki (2008): The participants were briefed on the study both verbally and in writing. The study participants provided written informed consent forms prior to the interviews. To protect their privacy and confidentiality, all data were recorded anonymously.

Data credibility

Activities such as peer debriefing, prolonged engagement, persistent observation, triangulation, negative case analysis, referential adequacy, and member checking can be used to build credibility [19]. The research credibility was checked. Participants’, investigators’ and method triangulations were done to ensure the precision and reliability of this qualitative study.

Data analysis

The semi-structured interviews were tape-recorded and transcribed; then, the transcriptions were analyzed using content analysis method. It is often appropriate that existing theories or literature be limited to the studied phenomenon. The analysis was conducted manually. Due to long-term engagement with the study participants and obtained data, the researchers effectively communicated with the study participants and thoroughly understood the study context. The transcribed interviews were read and re-read to obtain a clear statement by the researchers. According to the study objectives, meaning units were identified, composed and coded. In total, 116 open codes were extracted. The codes were classified into different categories. Finally, as per Table 2, the meaningful themes were obtained by comparing the orthotics and prosthetics clinical educators’ experiences categories.

3. Results

Seven individual interviews were completed. Some characteristics of the study participants and interviews are presented in Table 1. The analysis yielded four themes of relationships, personal characteristics, facilities, and policies (Table 2).

Relationships

This was the first theme extracted from the data and consisted of “relationship between students and clinical
educators”, “relationship between students” and “relationship between students and patients”. All clinical educators reported relationships as a stressor source in clinical environments. The study participants expressed different dimensions of relationships between students and patients and even their companions, effective in clinical learning processes.

A number of contributors mentioned the positive impact of group relationships on the educational process. One of the clinical educators indicated the impact of senior students on junior students, which could negatively affect the educational process in clinical settings: “In the prosthetic section, senior students are together with lower grade students in a course… But, they always disappoint new students!” [CE6, line 3].

The communication and interaction of healthcare center staff with the students are effective in the clinical education process and cause a variety of experiences to students: “some of my colleagues find students disturb-

Table 1. Characteristics of participants and interviews

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interview</th>
<th>University</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Education History, Y</th>
<th>Time, Min</th>
<th>Codes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>IUMS</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>IRCI</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>IUMS</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>MUI</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>USWR</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>USWR</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>IRCI</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>369</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Themes and categories derived from interviews

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relationships</td>
<td>Relationship between students and clinical educators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relationship between students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relationship between students and patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal characteristics</td>
<td>Individual characteristics of students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Personal and professional characteristics of clinical educators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Patient’s characteristics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities</td>
<td>Physical characteristics of the clinical center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Environmental health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Environmental safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policies</td>
<td>Ministry of health policies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University approaches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Educational department approaches</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ing their work at clinical centers … they believe their time is wasted!” [CE 5, line 21].

A number of participants objected to the clarity of boundaries of orthotics and prosthetics, compared with other areas of rehabilitation. This can interfere with tasks while performing team work: “e.g. physiotherapists can prescribe orthoses, but not us! now, students are discouraged …” [CE 3, line 19].

The role of mentor support in the process of clinical education is very important. One of the clinical educators believed that: “… indeed, students must be supported at work. I always prefer to help them. Sometimes, in the middle of the work, I assist them with their work.” [CE 6, line 5]

Personal characteristics

This theme consists of three categories, as follows: “the individual characteristics of students”, “the personal and professional characteristics of clinical educators”, and “patient’s characteristics”.

Student motivation significantly impacts the clinical education process. “[students] do not want to do anything. [they] come sit and drink tea … [laughter]” [CE 2, line 5].

One of the educators also mentioned the lack of accuracy among clinical instructors during internships and believed there should be a firm structure: “… we have less accuracy and less stringency than physiotherapist” [CE 7, line 20].

Contributors discussed the negative impact of job conditions on clinical education, as follows: “I'm getting the money for every orthosis I make ... well, naturally, I try to work harder, to earn more! This condition limits my internship attendance” [CE 5, line 3].

In clinical education, the educator should communicate with students to transfer knowledge and skills. Self-esteem and students' learning identity are preserved: “… when you appreciate the students’ work, their efforts and motivations are reinforced” [CE 6, Line 10]. Constant monitoring of clinical instructors is effective in trainees' performance. This was another matter expressed by a number of contributors: “it is necessary to pay attention to the students' work …” [CE 2, line 21].

Referring patients to medical education centers as affects the clinical environment with the following conditions and characteristics: awareness level, socio-political status, psychological features and personal hygiene. The personal hygiene of clients referred to rehabilitation centers is important: “the socks of a patient were so dirty! Students could not approach him …” [CE 6, line 23].

Some participants discussed the impact of socio-political status of patients on the clinical education process: “e.g. if the patient is a mayor, the girls are not allowed to visit him! He says only boys …” [CE 3, line 4]. Mistrust of patients on trainees does not allow them to sufficiently cooperate and disrupt the training process.

Facilities

The characteristics of clinical environment are discussed in various methods by the study participants. This theme is also composed of three categories, as follows: “the physical characteristics of clinical center”, “environmental health”, and “environmental safety”. Unlike other medical sciences, in the field of orthotics and prosthetics, most of the work is demonstrated in technical workshops. Therefore, proper equipment and tools are essential for the supply of qualitative orthoses and prostheses.

Many clinical trainers have reported that the tools of educational centers are outdated: “ we lack modern devices in the department! We have a force plate … which is always broken!” [CE 7, line 12].

In this field, the presence of raw materials for the construction of orthoses and prostheses is among the main components of clinical education process. In some centers, the lack of raw materials or difficulty in obtaining those are students’ challenges in clinical learning. One of the educators complained about the limited space of clinic and the inappropriateness of physical space with the number of students: “the problem is ... the workshop is small ... it is chaotic!” [CE3, line11].

The use of equipment and tools such as sandblast, grinding, and gibson machines, hammers, as well as raw materials such as laminate and carbon, affect the workplace environment. The presence of various tools at orthotics and prosthetics workshops requires the observance of safety principles. However, a number of contributors mentioned the negative attitudes in the use of protective equipment in clinical settings: “… some people tell students that the use of protective devices is ridiculous!” [CE 3, line 3].
Policies

The last theme focuses on the factors impacting the student's learning process despite the high spatial and temporal distance from the clinical education environment. This theme consists of three categories, as follows: “the Ministry of health policies”, “university approaches” and “educational department approaches”.

There are numerous upstream laws and policies that somehow affect the quality and effectiveness of internships and students’ experiences. In the past few years, the Ministry of Health has privatized health rehabilitation centers. A number of educators emphasized on the negative impact of this approach on clinical education: “now we cannot refer students to hospital centers because they are private…” [CE 7, line 9].

One of the educators also objected to extensive admission of students. “Too many students are admitted. We cannot teach them well” [CE 1, line 25].

The method of allocating financial and non-financial resources among the departments of the university affects the availability of facilities and functions of clinical environments. A contributor expressed his objection as follows: “they do not allocate us enough budget to buy instruments and materials!” [CE 1, line 8].

Educational departments provide high quality educational services to students. Some clinical educators believed that the theoretical units were high and not proportional to practical units. The result is confusion among students in clinical settings: “… some theoretical lessons are not used in the clinical setting!” [CE 4, line 22].

4. Discussion

This study aimed to discuss orthotics and prosthetics clinical educators’ experiences on challenges in clinical environments and settings. The study participants stated that positive relationships between students improve the learning process. Research has also revealed that the peer-assisted learning approach apparently decreases the students’ anxiety, improves their sense of safety in the learning environment, decreases educators’ responsibilities and enhances professional skills like cooperation [20-22]. The negative effects of senior students on other students and their pessimism and disappointment are in line with the results of this study. However, a number of studies have explained how a positive relationship between peers improves the learning process [23, 24].

The current study suggests that appropriate relationships between students and staff is effective in the clinical education process. Previous studies have also highlighted the importance of social dimension of clinical education environments [25]. Teamwork requires clear roles and responsibilities of various professionals. In this study, it was stated that the uncertainty of the boundaries of field is conflicting. Therefore, the knowledge of different professionals from their duties and inter-professional collaboration positively affects the atmosphere of clinical education [26].

In this research, a number of contributors indicated the impact of mentorship and receiving feedbacks from clinical educators during the clinical education process. Mentoring opportunities may be structured for orthotics and prosthetics students to facilitate clinical decision-making and reflection skills [27, 28].

Clinical educators identified a range of characteristics exhibited by their students, including interest, motivation, attitude, and desire to perform technical work affecting the student's active presence in clinical settings. Studies have reported that lack of student motivation to learn, and their irresponsibility and irregularity, affect clinical education [24, 29].

The findings indicate that the status of clinical educators’ occupation is one of the challenges in clinical settings. This is because they pay attention to the students’ learning needs and the patients’ needs, simultaneously. This suggestion is likely associated with low salaries in academia and how these low salaries are a disincentive for recruitment and retention [30]. In clinical training, educators’ interaction with students is a significant chance of learning. Based on the obtained data, respecting students’ learner identities in clinical settings increases their effort and motivation [31].

The obtained results suggested that the of patients’ characteristics and relatives may negatively impact the clinical education process. The relatives’ overprotective attitudes and patients’ distrust unable students to well perform their duties and negatively impact their performance.

Clinical educators who participated in the study indicated that the number of students are too high and the available facilities are not adequate for the number of accepted students. Many students would probably assume that clinical instructors might not have enough time for them and being evaluated incorrectly is the issue that worries these students most [32, 33].
Observance of hygiene issues and safety principles are among the necessities of clinical training centers for orthosis and prosthesis courses. This is a result not reported by previous studies. Thus, paying attention to the students’ health should be prioritized. Finally, the lack of coordination between theoretical and practical lessons leads to different perceptions in clinical education environments. The literature review suggests that a significant amount of stress is caused due to the gap between theory and practice during clinical practices [34].

5. Conclusion

Results of this study suggest considering the challenges in orthotics and prosthetics clinical education environments, provides the necessary conditions for improving the quality of educational services and, consequently, providing graduates with effective training. Clinical learning with regards to orthotics and prosthetics education remains crucial. The findings emphasize the importance of listening to educators, considering how relationships and contexts impact clinical learning. In this study, clinical educators could not be gathered at a meeting, simultaneously. As a result, focus group discussions could not be conducted.
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