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Objectives: Instruments and methods evaluate addiction to the Internet, mobile, and smartphone use. Subject-reported outcome measures, such as the Smartphone Addiction Scale (SAS) assess such addiction. The objectives of this study were to cross-culturally adapt the SAS into Persian (SAS-Pr) and to evaluate the properties of reliability and validity in the Persian setting.

Methods: The English version of the SAS was translated into Persian according to the published guidelines, using forward-translation, synthesis, back translation, consolidated consensus translations from an expert committee, and in pilot-testing. The pilot trial was performed with recruited medical students (n=50) with an interview used to determine the comprehensibility, readability, understandability, interpretation, and cultural relevance of the questionnaire. A further sample of medical students (n=100) was recruited for test-retest reliability performed at baseline and 7-14 days later, using the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC2,1) and internal consistency using Cronbach’s α.

Results: The translation of SAS-Pr was achieved with minimum difficulty. During this process, item 15 was revised and in items 24 and 25, the “telegram” phrase was added. The participants found the comprehensibility, readability, understandability, interpretation, and completeness of the questionnaire conclusive. Furthermore, high levels of test-retest reliability (ICC2,1=0.81) and internal consistency (α=0.948) were obtained.

Discussion: The SAS-Pr adaptation was successful and the translated version showed promising positive psychometric properties. The SAS-Pr can be applied in research settings and in identifying Persian-speaking people at risk of addiction to the smartphone.
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Results: The translation of SAS-Pr was achieved with minimum difficulty. During this process, item 15 was revised and in items 24 and 25, the “telegram” phrase was added. The participants found the comprehensibility, readability, understandability, interpretation, and completeness of the questionnaire conclusive. Furthermore, high levels of test-retest reliability (ICC2,1=0.81) and internal consistency (α=0.948) were obtained.

Discussion: The SAS-Pr adaptation was successful and the translated version showed promising positive psychometric properties. The SAS-Pr can be applied in research settings and in identifying Persian-speaking people at risk of addiction to the smartphone.
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Highlights

- Addiction to smartphone and the Internet is considered a major area of health concern.
- The identification of smartphone overuse, with possible addiction, and the identification of those at risk of becoming addicted appear necessary.
- The Smartphone Addiction Scale (SAS) is a self-reported developed scale, which assesses the pathological smartphone use and potential addiction.
- A Persian version was obtained, which was culturally and linguistically equivalent to the original version.

Plain Language Summary

The identification of smartphone overuse, with possible addiction, and the identification of those at risk of becoming addicted appear necessary. There are a variety of instruments designed to assess smartphone addiction. Among them, the SAS is the most valid and reliable one, which is translated into various languages. Because of the potential for cultural differences in health and usage habits, outcome tools should be systematically translated and adapted and, then, validated for use within different cultural contexts. The present research reported the successful psychometric evaluation of an applicable tool that measures pathological smartphone use and potential addiction.

1. Introduction

The use of smartphone has increased significantly during the last decade. Almost two-thirds of the global population now own or use a mobile phone, over 50% of whom use a smartphone [1, 2]. The results of a 40-nation survey showed that South Korea (88%), Australia (77%), and the United States (72%) are the nation with the highest smartphone ownership rate, respectively [1]. The rate of smartphone users was 83.6% in Korea [3]. In China, the number of smartphone users is forecasted to grow to around 675 million in 2019, up by 20% from 563 million in 2016. However, in the United States, it is expected to reach 247.5 million users [4]. There are similar patterns of increasing use in Iran, where the number of smartphone users increased from 2 million users in 2013 to around 27 million in 2015. Also, the number of Internet users has increased 5-fold and more than 64% of Iranians use the Internet in their home settings [5].

Smartphone dependency can be associated with symptoms, including infatuation, irregularity, mentally involved by the device, inappropriate use in a social or hazardous situation, feeling anger, anxiety, and permanent concern about the battery’s charge life [2, 6]. There are also other complications related to excessive smartphone use that include musculoskeletal complications in the neck, shoulders, and upper limbs. During such an increased frequency of smartphone use, the subsequent degree of neck flexion is increased and the body position is compromised; this leads to varying levels of symptom severity in terms of neck and shoulder pain [7].

Addiction to smartphone and the Internet is considered a major area of health concern, particularly concerning the negative effects on mental, physical, and psychosocial well-being. Smartphone addiction is related to the extent, to which individuals display pathological smartphone use causing harm to themselves and or others. The identification of smartphone overuse, with possible addiction, and the identification of those at risk of becoming addicted appear necessary. A variety of instruments assess smartphone addiction [8, 9]; questionnaires are preferred because of their rapid and precise risk identification capacity. Various questionnaires exist for the Internet, mobile phone, and smartphone addiction, including the Internet addiction scale [10], problematic mobile phone use [11], and the Smartphone Addiction Scale (SAS) [8]. Among these, Persian versions of the Internet addiction scale [12] and problematic mobile phone use [13] can be found, but the SAS has not been adapted for the Persian language and culture. From the available literature, no scale evaluates smartphone addiction use in the Persian population.

The SAS was developed by Min Kwon (2013) as a self-reported scale, which assesses the pathological smartphone use and potential addiction [8]. Because of the potential for cultural differences in the health and
smartphone usage, outcome measures should be systematically translated and adapted and, then, validated for use within different cultural contexts.

Consequently, the aims of this study included the translation and cultural adaptation of the SAS to Persian and the determination of the psychometric properties, including face validity and reliability of the pre-final SAS in a pilot population and the internal consistency.

2. Methods

Smartphone Addiction Scale (SAS)

In this research, the SAS was investigated and its cultural adaptation was evaluated. The SAS has 33 items in a 6-factor structure questionnaire that measures pathological smartphone use. Items are rated on a 6-point Likert-scale, where a higher score indicates greater pathological smartphone use, and the total score ranges from 33 to 198 [8]. The incorporated factors include daily-life disturbance, positive anticipation, withdrawal, cyber-space-oriented relationship, overuse, and tolerance. The reliability and validity of the SAS in English [8], Turkish [2], and Malay [14] have been determined.

The cross-cultural adaptation of the SAS questionnaire was performed based on existing published guidelines [15] and previous research [16]. This has provided 6 steps as a template for cross-cultural adaptation and translation: 1) original translation; 2) synthesis; 3) back translation; 4) consensus by experts; 5) pilot-testing; and 6) the formulation of the definitive consensus translated version (Figure 1).

Two independent native Persian speakers translated the SAS into Persian (SAS-Pr). One translator was familiar...
with the questionnaire concept and the other was not. These two versions were analyzed by an expert committee consisting of a psychologist, neuroscientist, ergonomist, psychometrician, translators, and authors. After discussing any discrepancies, a consensus was adopted (synthesis of the translations) [17].

Afterward, a backward translation was made from Persian to English by two additional independent and blinded translators (back translation). The same expert committee, but with all translators, evaluated the semantic, idiomatic, experiential, and conceptual equivalences according to the guidelines (expert committee review). Consensus on the pre-final version was reached and the SAS-Pr was produced.

The pre-final version (pre-testing) was pilot-tested in a population of college students (N=50). The inclusion criteria included signing written consent to participate and being a smartphone user. The exclusion criteria included the lack of consent, non-use of a smartphone, age <18 years, and poor Persian language comprehension. After completing the pre-final SAS-Pr, a short interview with each participant was conducted to test the alternative wording and the face and content validity through readability, understandability, interpretation, and cultural relevance was checked. Further feedback and consensus from the expert panel enabled acceptance of the final version of the SAS-Pr.

Psychometric evaluation of the pre-final version

Reliability and internal consistency

The test-retest reliability was evaluated, using the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC 2,1). One hundred subjects were randomly selected from recruited medical students and the data were recorded at baseline and on repetition between 7 and 14 days [16].

The internal consistency was evaluated, using Cronbach’s alpha. The value between 0.7 and 0.95 was considered evidence of acceptable reliability [19].

Validity

The face validity of the Persian SASQ was established through the opinions of the experts throughout the cross-cultural adaptation phase. It was also achieved through a qualitative analysis of the suggested comments provided by the participants in the pretest validity phase.

3. Results

Samples characteristics

A total of 100 students (mean age=24.46 years, 42% male) from 3 different medical university campuses in Tehran, Iran, were recruited to participate in the test-retest analysis. Table 1 presents the socio-demographic and descriptions of smartphone use patterns.

Cross-cultural adaptation process

There was minimal difficulty during the implementation of forwarding and back translation, which corresponded to the original version. Minor revisions were applied to the text based on cultural relevance. Most students had difficulty accepting item 15. Consequently, it was revised and translated as: “I am restless and worried when I don’t have my cellphone in hand” in the Persian language. In items 24 and 25, the “telegram” was added because of its

| Table 1. Socio-demographic and descriptions of mobile smartphone use pattern |
|---|---|---|
| Variables | No. | Age (Mean±SD) |
| Age (y) | 100 | 24.46±4.14 |
| Gender | | |
| Male | 42 | 25.02±4.3 |
| Female | 58 | 24.05±3.9 |
| Marriage status | | |
| Single | 68 | - |
| Married | 32 | - |
| Purpose of smartphone use | | |
| Social networks | 34 | - |
| SMS | 25 | - |
| Email | 10 | - |
| Internet | 11 | - |
| Read news and books | 14 | - |
| Other | 6 | - |
high usage among Iranian and Persian culture. The pre-final questionnaire was administered to 50 students (62% females, age=21.0±2.1 years). All subjects reported no problems or difficulties in completing the SAS-Pr.

Reliability analysis

Test-retest reliability, with follow-up assessment at 2 weeks, was high (ICC2,1=0.81, 95% CI=0.72-0.87) and confirmed temporal stability. Internal consistency, measured with Cronbach’s alpha, was 0.948 for the 33 items in the SAS-Pr. The corrected item to total correlation coefficient was between 0.39 and 0.71, which indicated a statistically significant positive correlation (P<0.01). Furthermore, the obtained alpha for each item through an item-deleted method was calculated (Table 2).

Table 2. Item analysis and internal consistency of the Persian SAS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Scale Means if Item Deleted</th>
<th>Scale Variance if Item Deleted</th>
<th>Corrected Item/Total Correlation</th>
<th>Alpha if Item Deleted</th>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Scale Means if Item Deleted</th>
<th>Scale Variance if Item Deleted</th>
<th>Corrected Item/Total Correlation</th>
<th>Alpha if Item Deleted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>102.57</td>
<td>802.100</td>
<td>0.658</td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>102.64</td>
<td>798.481</td>
<td>0.569</td>
<td>0.947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>102.85</td>
<td>796.821</td>
<td>0.717</td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>103.66</td>
<td>819.574</td>
<td>0.390</td>
<td>0.948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>102.73</td>
<td>798.949</td>
<td>0.689</td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>102.82</td>
<td>805.934</td>
<td>0.571</td>
<td>0.947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>102.51</td>
<td>808.710</td>
<td>0.579</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>102.91</td>
<td>802.977</td>
<td>0.562</td>
<td>0.947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>102.80</td>
<td>803.546</td>
<td>0.661</td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>103.74</td>
<td>811.190</td>
<td>0.507</td>
<td>0.947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>103.07</td>
<td>811.247</td>
<td>0.545</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>103.16</td>
<td>809.281</td>
<td>0.548</td>
<td>0.947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>103.05</td>
<td>809.672</td>
<td>0.569</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>102.60</td>
<td>804.680</td>
<td>0.595</td>
<td>0.947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>102.68</td>
<td>809.330</td>
<td>0.584</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>102.03</td>
<td>802.054</td>
<td>0.569</td>
<td>0.947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>103.30</td>
<td>812.788</td>
<td>0.525</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>102.77</td>
<td>801.370</td>
<td>0.602</td>
<td>0.946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>103.78</td>
<td>813.552</td>
<td>0.514</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>101.77</td>
<td>806.576</td>
<td>0.561</td>
<td>0.947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>103.83</td>
<td>811.205</td>
<td>0.560</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>101.95</td>
<td>804.405</td>
<td>0.579</td>
<td>0.947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>103.86</td>
<td>813.055</td>
<td>0.516</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>102.36</td>
<td>803.497</td>
<td>0.622</td>
<td>0.946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>103.88</td>
<td>811.530</td>
<td>0.534</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>102.71</td>
<td>797.741</td>
<td>0.685</td>
<td>0.946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>103.63</td>
<td>809.181</td>
<td>0.540</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>102.90</td>
<td>801.6330</td>
<td>0.657</td>
<td>0.946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>102.78</td>
<td>799.457</td>
<td>0.666</td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>102.77</td>
<td>804.367</td>
<td>0.577</td>
<td>0.947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>102.84</td>
<td>804.099</td>
<td>0.588</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>103.44</td>
<td>801.743</td>
<td>0.650</td>
<td>0.946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>103.76</td>
<td>809.799</td>
<td>0.545</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>102.64</td>
<td>798.481</td>
<td>0.569</td>
<td>0.947</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall Cronbach’s α=0.948; Mean±SD=106.19±29.25.

Validity

Face validity obtained by the expert committee for the SAS-Pr was high. They also considered the SAS-Pr useful in assessing addiction to smartphone use. The subjects of pretest assessment (N=50) found the scale to be complete in terms of their requirements and it was conclusive.

4. Discussion

The current study aimed at assessing the cross-cultural adaptation of the SAS to Persian to produce the SAS-Pr and to assess the reliability and validity of the final tool. We used the SAS for translation and cultural adaptation because it is a multiple-choice short length scale that is easy to understand, rate, and apply. The process of cross-cultural adaptation was performed based on previously published guidelines [15]. Finally, a Persian version was...
obtained, which was culturally and linguistically equivalent to the original version.

Some changes in literal language translation were performed to increase the comprehensibility and readability of the questionnaire within the Persian cultural context. All of the changes were confirmed by the current authors of the research and the original author. Acceptance of item 15 was also difficult in the Malay version that was translated to “Feeling impatient and restless when I do not have a smartphone” [14]. Similarly, in the Persian version, it was translated to “I am restless and worried when I don’t have my smartphone in hand”. Also, in the present study, we added the word ‘Telegram’ in items 24 and 25 because of the ongoing prevalent use of Telegram applications in Iranian culture. In two other versions, the Spanish and Turkish versions [2, 20], there were no reported changes nor cultural adaptations.

In the current study, two types of consistency were assessed; over time reliability and internal consistency across items. The test-retest reliability coefficient was r=0.81 identical to that of the Turkish (r=0.81) [2] and very close to Malay version (r=0.85) [14]. In the original [8] and Arabic [21] versions of the SAS, test-retest reliability results were not reported.

The Cronbach’s alpha coefficient for the total scale was 0.948. It indicated that the internal consistency was only below the upper-limit threshold [22] that was a similar finding to the Turkish, Malay, and the original version (0.947, 0.94, and 0.967, respectively) [2, 8, 14]. However, it should be noted that if the alpha value exceeds 0.95, as found in the original version [2, 8, 14], then, item redundancy is present and too many questions have similarly asked the same construct. This, in addition to the Persian and Malay versions approaching the upper limit of α=0.95 thresholds, suggests that the shortening of the question and reduction of the total 33 items could be considered something beyond the scope of this study. Also, the short version of the SAS showed a Cronbach’s alpha coefficient of 0.91, 0.85, 0.79, 0.88, and 0.90 [20] for the original, German, Italian, Spanish, and Belgian versions, respectively [23-25]. This result demonstrates that the SAS-Pr has a very high but suitable level of internal consistency. We also computed the item to the total correlation coefficient (0.39-0.71), which was again close to that of the Turkish version (0.39-0.77) [2].

Limitations and strengths

The first limitation is the lack of generalizability of the sample. The present study was conducted on a sample of medical students and, consequently, cannot be generalized to the total adult Persian population. However, this age and occupation group is recognized as high users of smartphone. Further research is required with different samples to broaden the population psychometrics of the SAS-Pr. This is the first study on the SAS in a Persian population and the research in this field is limited.

The strengths of the study include its rigor and thoroughness in following the cultural and linguistic adaptation and translation guidelines. This is enhanced by the continuity in the findings of the translators and researchers involved when reaching a consensus version. Furthermore, the final version was specific to a Persian-speaking population as the sample demographic was that of a higher smartphone user group. Lastly, the consistency with other cultural adaptations supports its consideration for adoption as is the necessity to consider the potential for item redundancy.

5. Conclusions

This study has described the cultural adaptation and reliability of the SAS-Pr, an instrument designed to assess the addiction to smartphone usage. The SAS-Pr was translated and culturally adapted in an Iranian population for Persian speakers and, then, tested on a reliability sample. The SAS-Pr had high test-retest reliability and internal consistency. The latter may require further consideration with the possibility of shortening the questionnaire to minimize the risk of item redundancy and subsequent user burden.
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